

LeRoy Moore, Ph.D.

2/1/2010

Statement for the public Record

Please include the following two comments as part of the official record of today's meeting. First, or 1,280 parties that commented on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Rocky Flats Wildlife Refuge, *81% rejected public access to the refuge while only 11% explicitly favored such access. This information is based on the official published record of comments on the Draft EIS.*

Second, The Federal Advisory Committee Act requires that the membership of a body created to advise an agency of the federal government must 'be fairly balanced in terms of points of view represented' within the advisory committee. The Rocky Flats Stewardship Council, which advises the federal agency that funds it, was created in a way that deliberately bypasses this legal requirement. Is the Stewardship Council therefore an illegal entity?

*US Fish and Wildlife Service, Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge, Appendix H: Comments and responses on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (September 2004). I arrived at the total of 1,280 commenting parties by eliminating duplications, so that multiple individuals from a given organization, such as the Rocky Mountain Peace and Justice Center or the City of Broomfield, are in each case counted as a single party